

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The current religious exemption from ACA mirrors other religious exemptions used in the Internal Revenue Code. The EACH Act provides that anyone who “is a member of a religious sect that relies solely on religious methods of healing and for whom medical care is inconsistent with religious beliefs” can claim a religious exemption from the individual mandate requirement.

As a step to maintain a narrowly defined religious exemption and meet concerns, this legislation is written more precisely than the previous bill that passed unanimously in this House.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. RODNEY DAVIS), the author of the EACH Act.

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman RYAN for his leadership on this issue. I really appreciate the Committee on Ways and Means allowing me, a noncommittee member, to be able to take this important piece of legislation to the floor today.

Today this Congress has an opportunity to work in a bipartisan way to promote religious liberty and, frankly, Mr. Speaker, fairness. H.R. 2061, the EACH Act, does this by modestly expanding the religious conscience exemption under the Affordable Care Act to include individuals like Christian Scientists, who rely solely on religious methods of healing.

The existing religious conscience exemption under the Affordable Care Act exclusively applies, as Chairman RYAN said, to a few certain sects of faith. As a result, many Americans—as I mentioned before, the Christian Scientists—are required to purchase medical health insurance that does not cover the health care of their religious practice or choice. Alternatively, they are forced to pay tax penalties for not purchasing such insurance.

A similar version of the EACH Act passed this House unanimously under the suspension of the rules during the last Congress. In order to improve the bill, as Mr. LEVIN, my colleague stated, modest changes to this bill’s language were made, with input from the Department of Treasury, the Department of Health and Human Services, and other key stakeholders.

Under this bill’s new language, applicants must annually attest to the exchange that they are a member of a religious group, that they rely solely on a religious method of healing, and that they have not received medical health services during the preceding taxable year.

Additionally, with the help of input from the American Academy of Pediatrics, the bill now makes it clear that the legislation does not preempt any

State laws requiring the provision of medical treatment for children. Further, if a parent needs to provide necessary medical services to a child, doing so would not invalidate the individual’s exemption.

The EACH Act is truly an example of bipartisan legislation with input from stakeholders to make it better. As of today, it has more than 100 Republican and more than 60 Democratic cosponsors.

I am particularly proud to have worked with my friend and colleague, Mr. KEATING, on moving this legislation forward. He knows this issue well. His home State of Massachusetts established a similar religious conscience exemption in State law, and it is working just as planned.

Mr. Speaker, I also represent Principia College in Elsah, Illinois. It is a college for Christian Scientists. I am proud to stand up and promote their religious liberty and that of many others in this great Nation.

I urge a “yes” vote.

□ 1615

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I think Mr. DAVIS captured it quite well.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. RYAN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2061, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### ENSURING ACCESS TO CLINICAL TRIALS ACT OF 2015

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 139) to permanently allow an exclusion under the Supplemental Security Income program and the Medicaid program for compensation provided to individuals who participate in clinical trials for rare diseases or conditions.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 139

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

##### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act of 2015”.

##### SEC. 2. ELIMINATION OF SUNSET PROVISION.

Effective as if included in the enactment of the Improving Access to Clinical Trials Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-255, 124 Stat. 2640), section 3 of that Act is amended by striking subsection (e).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. RYAN) and the gen-

tleman from Texas (Mr. DOGGETT) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin.

##### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on S. 139, currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of S. 139, the Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act.

The National Institutes of Health says that there are 7,000 rare diseases affecting people in the United States, and if we are going to find cures for those diseases, the first thing we need to do is to get people to participate in clinical trials. All too often, researchers cannot find enough participants because so few people have these diseases in the first place.

Now—no surprise here—the government used to make it more difficult for researchers to find people. Say you had a rare disease and you were on public assistance, like SSI or Medicaid. If you got compensated for participating in one of these trials, you got smaller benefits. That is why, in 2010, we passed the Improving Access to Clinical Trials Act.

For the past 5 years, this law has allowed people to collect up to \$2,000 per year by participating in rare-disease clinical trials without threat of losing their SSI or Medicaid benefits. The GAO says the law is working. Ever since we passed this law, more people on SSI have been participating in clinical trials as a result of it.

The problem is this law expires next week, on October 5, so this bill would simply extend current law. That way, more people can participate in clinical trials without any reason to worry or without any threat to a loss of their benefits, and that way, we will continue to make strides in fighting these diseases. CBO tells us this bill will cost virtually nothing.

My friends, Senator HATCH and Senator WYDEN, introduced this bill in the Senate. It passed the Senate by unanimous consent. In the House, my colleagues Mr. DOGGETT and Mr. MARINO from Pennsylvania have introduced it along with 50 other cosponsors.

I will include in the RECORD a letter listing the many supporters of this legislation. It is a list of over 70 organizations, including the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, the Muscular Dystrophy Association, and the Huntington’s Disease Society of America, just to name a few.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2015.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN,  
*Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means,*  
*House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*  
 Hon. SANDER M. LEVIN,  
*Ranking Member, Committee on Ways and*  
*Means, House of Representatives, Wash-*  
*ington, DC.*

Hon. CHARLES BOUSTANY, Jr.,  
*Chairman, Subcommittee on Human Resources,*  
*Committee on Ways and Means, House of*  
*Representatives, Washington, DC.*

Hon. LLOYD DOGGETT,  
*Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Human Re-*  
*sources, Committee on Ways and Means,*  
*House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR CHAIRMEN RYAN AND BOUSTANY AND  
 RANKING MEMBERS LEVIN AND DOGGETT: The  
 undersigned organizations, representing mil-  
 lions of Americans with rare and genetic dis-  
 eases, advocates, industry, and academic in-  
 stitutions, write to express strong support  
 for H.R. 209/S. 139, the Ensuring Access to  
 Clinical Trials Act of 2015. This legislation  
 will permanently remove a barrier to clinical  
 research and allow Supplemental Security  
 Income (SSI) and Medicaid recipients to  
 participate in and benefit from clinical trials  
 without fear of losing vital benefits.

The Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act  
 of 2015 eliminates the sunset clause from the  
 Improving Access to Clinical Trials Act of  
 2009 (IACT), legislation signed into law in  
 2010, making the IACT a permanent law.  
 This will allow patients with rare diseases to  
 continue to receive up to \$2,000 in compensa-  
 tion for participating in clinical trials with-  
 out that compensation counting towards  
 their income eligibility limits for SSI and  
 Medicaid.

Removing barriers to drug trial participa-  
 tion is particularly important as recent ad-  
 vances in medical research and technology  
 allow for the development of new and prom-  
 ising medications. Securing an adequate  
 number of clinical trial participants is vital  
 for therapies that treat rare conditions, but  
 rare disease researchers in particular often  
 have difficulty recruiting drug trial partici-  
 pants, simply because they have a smaller  
 pool of patients.

Further, with the advent of precision medi-  
 cine, therapies are being customized to treat  
 a patient's specific genetic makeup. These  
 types of trials often require clinical trial  
 participants bearing specific genetic  
 mutations, which necessarily creates an even  
 more complex and exclusive clinical trial  
 recruitment process. Ensuring that all pa-  
 tients with rare diseases are able to partici-  
 pate in clinical trials can help open the door  
 for the advancement of new targeted ther-  
 apies in many important areas of medicine,  
 including cancer and rare diseases like cys-  
 tic fibrosis.

Now is the time to ensure that all patients  
 have access to clinical trials for potentially  
 life-saving treatments. We look forward to  
 working with you to secure passage of this  
 bill to enable Social Security beneficiaries  
 to participate in clinical trials so that re-  
 search into life-saving treatments may con-  
 tinue to advance.

Sincerely,

Actavis  
 Adult CF Program—Northwestern Univer-  
 sity  
 Adult Polyglucosan Body Disease Research  
 Foundation APBDRF  
 Alpha-1 Foundation  
 ALS Association  
 American Association for Respiratory Care  
 (AARC)  
 American Autoimmune Related Diseases As-  
 sociation (AARDA)  
 Amyloidosis Support Groups Inc.  
 Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of  
 Chicago

Antonio J. and Janet Palumbo Cystic Fibro-  
 sis Center, Pediatric and Adult Program,  
 Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh UPMC  
 Association of Clinical Research Organiza-  
 tions (ACRO)  
 Association of Gastrointestinal Motility Dis-  
 orders, Inc. (AGMD)  
 Batten Disease Support and Research Asso-  
 ciation  
 Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO)  
 CADASIL Association Inc.  
 Cardio-Facio-Cutaneous International  
 CARES Foundation, Inc. (Congenital Adre-  
 nal hyperplasia Research, Education and  
 Support Foundation)  
 CF Care Center at Dayton Children's Hos-  
 pital  
 Congenital Hyperinsulinism International  
 (CHI)  
 COPD Foundation  
 Cure CMD  
 Cure SMA  
 Cystic Fibrosis Foundation  
 Cystinosis Foundation  
 Debra of America  
 FasterCures  
 First Focus  
 Foundation Fighting Blindness  
 Foundation for Prader-Willi Research  
 Foundation to Eradicate Duchenne  
 Friedrich's Ataxia Research Alliance  
 (FARA)  
 Genetic Alliance  
 Hide & Seek Foundation for Lysosomal Dis-  
 ease Research  
 Huntington's Disease Society of America  
 International Fibrodysplasia Ossificans  
 Progressiva Association (IFOPA)  
 Indiana University School of Medicine, CF  
 Care Center  
 International Society of Nurses in Genetics  
 (ISONG)  
 Lymphangiomatosis & Gorham's Disease Al-  
 liance  
 Lymphedema Advocacy Group  
 Maine Medical Center CF Program  
 M-CM Network  
 MEBO Research, Inc.  
 Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee  
 Cystic Fibrosis Care Center  
 MitoAction  
 MLD Foundation  
 Moebius Syndrome Foundation  
 Muscular Dystrophy Association  
 Myotonic Dystrophy Foundation  
 National Gaucher Foundation, Inc.  
 National MPS Society  
 National Organization for Albinism and  
 Hypopigmentation (NOAH)  
 National Organization for Rare Disorders  
 (NORD)  
 National PKU Alliance  
 National Spasmodic Torticollis Association  
 Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy (PPMD)  
 Parents and Researchers Interested in  
 Smith-Magenis Syndrome (PRISMS)  
 Progeria Research Foundation  
 ProMedica Toledo Children's Hospital  
 PXE International  
 Research! America  
 Rett Syndrome Research Trust  
 Stanley Manne Children's Research Institute  
 Tarlov Cyst Disease Foundation  
 The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia  
 The Detroit Medical Reserve Corps  
 The Massachusetts Medical Society  
 The National Alopecia Areata Foundation  
 (NAAF)  
 The State University of New York School of  
 Medicine and Biomedical Sciences  
 Trimethylaminuria Foundation  
 Tuberous Sclerosis Alliance  
 University of Michigan Health System, Cys-  
 tic Fibrosis Center  
 University of Pennsylvania Health System,  
 Cystic Fibrosis Center  
 University of Washington, Cystic Fibrosis  
 Care Center

Vertex Pharmaceuticals  
 Virginia Commonwealth University Health  
 System, Adult Cystic Fibrosis Program  
 Wilson Disease Association

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. This is com-  
 mon sense, and I urge my colleagues to  
 adopt this.

There is one more point I would like  
 to make. Nick Gwyn, the minority  
 staff director of the Human Resources  
 Subcommittee on Ways and Means, is  
 retiring.

I would like to take a minute to rec-  
 ognize Nick Gwyn for his work on this  
 issue. He is leaving the staff of Ways  
 and Means after serving on the com-  
 mittee since 1998. This should be the  
 last of many bills that he has helped  
 our colleagues manage on the floor.

During his time staffing the com-  
 mittee, Nick has worked on numerous  
 laws related to welfare, disability, and  
 unemployment policy. He also worked  
 closely with our staff to create bipar-  
 tisan child welfare laws that found  
 more loving families for children in  
 need.

We wish Nick well in the next stage  
 of his career, and we thank him for his  
 service to the committee, the House,  
 and the country.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of  
 my time.

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, I also  
 wanted to honor Mr. Gwyn, and this is  
 a good opportunity for us to do that.

I yield such time as he may consume  
 to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr.  
 LEVIN), the ranking Democrat on the  
 committee.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. DOGGETT and I will  
 say a few words, when many, many are  
 in order.

Nick Gwyn has been, as our chairman  
 said, a more than valuable member of  
 this staff and a more than dedicated  
 member of this staff. He has been in-  
 valuable. His dedication has been end-  
 less.

Nick is leaving to pursue family and  
 other needs. He knows he is going to  
 leave us in need, in terms of his im-  
 mense talents. His dedication to the  
 subject matter that is, by definition, so  
 directly involved with people is really  
 beyond estimation.

The subcommittee's work deals, as I  
 said, with the everyday challenges that  
 so many of the citizens in this country  
 face day in and day out. It was only a  
 few days ago that we heard from Pope  
 Francis how important it is for this in-  
 stitution to focus on the individual  
 needs of people, including those who  
 are poor, some with handicaps, but ev-  
 erybody who is in need of a hand up,  
 really, as much, if not more than, a  
 helping hand. And Nick has devoted  
 over a dozen years to this very purpose.

So, if I might say so, we have worked  
 together with Nick, and we just want  
 to thank him for more than a job well  
 done. We have been very proud to serve  
 with him.

Mr. DOGGETT. I yield myself such  
 time as I may consume.

I will just add, Nick, that I know you  
 have spent some 25 years here on the

Hill, 17 with our committee. Though I benefited from your good counsel before becoming the ranking Democrat on the Human Resources Subcommittee, I particularly appreciated your good counsel during the last 3 years, whether it was working on child abuse and our successful work with former Chair Dave Camp and getting a national commission or dealing with problems of the unemployed.

Just overall, the jurisdiction of our subcommittee is about children, children in need. Whether they are under this SSI program or child abuse or child care, they are children who should be able to rely on the Temporary Assistance for Needy Family program for their needs.

Nick has been an able advocate for children and someone who did work well, as Chairman RYAN said, with all members of our committee to advance these purposes. We wish him well in his new endeavors, and we thank him for his service.

Mr. Speaker, if I might talk just a little bit about the Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act, it is about getting new treatments quickly into the lives of patients that are suffering from dread diseases across America, reauthorizing existing law.

Senator RON WYDEN led this effort successfully in the Senate with Senator HATCH. And here, my colleagues, Mr. MARINO and Mr. JIM MCGOVERN, co-chairs of the Cystic Fibrosis Caucus, joined with me in the introduction of this legislation in the House.

The National Organization for Rare Disorders, and over 75 other organizations, has been a strong supporter of this legislation, and I thank them for their work on behalf of the legislation.

This bill makes permanent a law that is due to expire that will allow for individuals with certain debilitating conditions to exempt a small amount of their income gained from participating in medical trials from Supplemental Security Income, or SSI, and for Medicaid eligibility determination.

This exemption removes an important barrier to participating in clinical trials. If it is allowed to expire, patients contributing to vital research could face the difficult decision of either dropping out of the trials altogether or losing their benefits.

If you have ever met with someone with cystic fibrosis or someone in your family has it, you recognize how small the daily challenges that you face are compared to theirs.

I think of Nicole Flores in Austin, who has two children battling with rare diseases. She explained that patients shouldn't have to worry about losing assistance when they are just working hard to stay alive.

Over the past several months, I have heard from a number of families affected by rare diseases. These are parents who shared with me how far-reaching the modest relief this bill provides can provide for a number of people.

One couple recently sent me a picture of their 15-year-old son Mac Rung, who was diagnosed with cystic fibrosis at birth. Every morning and every evening, Mac undergoes chest therapy in order to clear his lungs and to avoid serious damage to help him get through the day. He takes medications with every meal to help him absorb his food and gain weight. He is battling a disease that many Americans have never heard of at an age where he shouldn't have to worry about anything other than school. And because this disease is progressive, they are really working against the clock.

Because of the approval of two new drugs, they told me that they never have had as much hope for Mac and his future as they do today. And while they are not a family that themselves rely on the bill that we have today before us, as Chairman RYAN indicated, they, and anyone with these rare diseases, stand to benefit if we have widespread participation in clinical trials on the approval of other new promising drugs like the ones that are already helping Mac.

Financially penalizing vulnerable people for participating in research does nothing to advance that research. The National Institutes of Health—NIH, as we know it—estimates that 25 million Americans are suffering because of rare disease.

I hope now that today, the House will join the Senate in approving the Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act and that we continue this important effort to support patients across the country.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. COSTELLO).

Mr. COSTELLO of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 209, the Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act, legislation that I have cosponsored.

We must continue to ensure barriers do not stall patients from participating in rare disease clinical trials. This bill will continue to encourage rare disease patients, even those receiving Social Security Income or Medicaid benefits, to participate in clinical trials without jeopardizing their eligibility for those benefits. All patients should have access to these important and often lifesaving trials that will advance medical research and work towards improving their health.

The Senate has taken the important step to pass this legislation, and I encourage my colleagues to advance this commonsense, bipartisan initiative and send it to the President's desk for his signature. I thank the chairman and all those involved in the House for their work on this.

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman RYAN as well as Chairman UPTON and Ranking Member FRANK PALLONE, who marked up this bill, and urge bipartisan approval of it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. I also urge our colleagues to support this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BOUSTANY. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to support S. 139, the Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act of 2015. This bill will ensure current Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Medicaid recipients can maintain those benefits while participating in clinical trials. Keeping their benefits will help them, but as a doctor I know that their participation in such trials stands to benefit countless others as well who suffer from rare conditions, both in the U.S. and abroad. We should ensure that public policy encourages that whenever we can, and that's what this bill does.

Under current law, the Social Security Administration excludes up to \$2,000 annually in compensation received by individuals participating in rare disease clinical trials when determining their SSI and Medicaid eligibility and benefits. But this provision, put in place by bipartisan legislation in 2010, is set to expire on October 5, 2015. After that date, all payments for participating in such clinical trials would be counted as income for SSI and Medicaid recipients, reducing or even ending their eligibility for those benefits.

A number of people with rare diseases like Cystic Fibrosis receive SSI benefits. If this policy is not made permanent, an individual participating in a clinical trial for a new treatment for Cystic Fibrosis could see a reduction or even the complete elimination of those important benefits.

The reality is, most simply won't take that risk, and will avoid participating in such trials. As GAO found in a 2014 report, "some stakeholders noted that compensation decreased participation in clinical trials in the past because individuals were concerned about its impact on their SSI eligibility and benefits." On the other hand, "financial incentives to participate in clinical trials have generally been found to encourage participation in trials. This is likely because of the time, inconvenience, and expense that may be involved."

Ultimately, not continuing this policy could actually prevent clinical trials from occurring, since it would restrict the already small number of people able to participate in the trial in the first place.

That's why the passage of S. 139 is so important, as it will remove the sunset date for current law—October 5, 2015. Failing to do so would force individuals to once again choose between maintaining their current health and disability benefits and the chance to participate in a clinical trial that could improve or even cure their condition, as well as help others like them in the future.

This bill is simple and consistent with current SSI program exemptions. S. 139 strikes the October 5, 2015 sunset date on current policy, permitting SSI and Medicaid recipients with rare diseases to participate in such trials that help to advance research into finding cures. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that S. 139 will result in insignificant costs to the Federal government over the next 10 years, meaning no offset for this legislation is required.

But its true value to people with rare diseases—and those who in the future might benefit by their participation in clinical trials permitted under this legislation—could be enormous. Let's pass this important legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by

the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. RYAN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 139.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1630

## HIGHER EDUCATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2015

Mr. BISHOP of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3594) to extend temporarily the Federal Perkins Loan program, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3594

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Higher Education Extension Act of 2015”.

### SEC. 2. EXTENSION OF NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INSTITUTIONAL QUALITY AND INTEGRITY.

Section 114(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1011c(f)) is amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2016”.

### SEC. 3. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL PERKINS LOAN PROGRAM.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE LOANS.**—Section 461 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087aa) is amended—

(1) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

“(b) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE LOANS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—With respect to any student who is not described in paragraph (2), an institution of higher education may make loans under this part to such a student until September 30, 2016, from the student loan fund established under this part by the institution.

“(2) **ADDITIONAL LOANS FOR CERTAIN STUDENTS.**—With respect to any student who has received a loan made under this part for an academic year ending prior to October 1, 2016, an institution of higher education that has most recently made such a loan to the student for an academic program at such institution may continue making loans under this part through March 31, 2018, from the student loan fund established under this part by the institution to enable the student to continue or complete such academic program, but only if the institution has awarded all Federal Direct Stafford Loans for which such student is eligible.

“(3) **PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS.**—No funds are authorized to be appropriated under this Act or any other Act to carry out the functions described in paragraphs (1) and (2) for any fiscal year following fiscal year 2015.”; and

(2) by striking subsection (c).

(b) **DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS FROM STUDENT LOAN FUNDS.**—Section 466 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087ff) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “After September 30, 2003, and not later than March 31, 2004” and inserting “Beginning October 1, 2016”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “2003” and inserting “2016”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “After October 1, 2012” and inserting “Beginning October 1, 2016”.

(c) **ADDITIONAL EXTENSIONS NOT PERMITTED.**—Section 422 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1226a) shall not apply to further extend the duration of—

(1) the authority under paragraph (1) of section 461(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087aa(b)), as amended by subsection (a)(1) of this section, beyond September 30, 2016, on the basis of the extension under such subsection; or

(2) the authority under paragraph (2) of section 461(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087aa(b)), as amended by subsection (a)(1) of this section, beyond March 31, 2018, on the basis of the extension under such subsection.

### SEC. 4. EXTENSION OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.

Section 491(k) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098(k)) is amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2016”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SMITH of Nebraska). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BISHOP) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. POCAN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BISHOP of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill, H.R. 3594.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. BISHOP of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and rise in support of the Higher Education Extension Act of 2015.

Mr. Speaker, this week, several provisions of the Higher Education Extension Act are set to expire, including the Perkins Loan Program.

For several decades, the Perkins Loan Program has provided low-interest-rate loans to college students with severe financial need. If we allow this program to expire, it would be at a time when our Nation's higher education system is failing many students trying to earn a college degree and a lifetime of opportunity and success.

College costs continue to soar, new rules and regulations discourage innovation and deny access, and students are struggling to complete their education, not to mention find good-paying jobs.

This is a very bleak reality facing students from my home State of Michigan and across the country. The American people deserve better. Students and families in my district and across the country deserve better, and my three children, who will one day in the not-so-distant future begin their college careers, deserve better. The reauthorization of the Higher Education Extension Act presents Congress an opportunity to strengthen higher education for students, families, and taxpayers.

My colleagues and I have already proposed a number of responsible re-

forms that promise to promote innovation, strengthen transparency, and help students complete their education. Members are also working to streamline the confusing maze of financial programs so that students can get the support they need.

As we continue our efforts to reauthorize the law, now is not the time to turn our backs on the students who rely on the Perkins Loan Program for their college education. Now is the time to help meet the immediate need of students in Michigan and across our country, and the Higher Education Extension Act of 2015 will do just exactly that.

This bipartisan proposal will extend for 1 year the Perkins Loan Program, allowing participating colleges and universities to continue to service their borrowers. It will also allow current Perkins recipients who remain in the same academic program to be eligible to receive those funds through March 2018. The legislation will also extend other provisions in the Higher Education Extension Act that aim to support students, institutions, and policymakers.

Finally, let me note for my colleagues and the American people, by reforming the Perkins Loan Program, we ensure that this legislation is fully paid for, at no additional cost to taxpayers.

I am proud to lead this bipartisan effort with the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. POCAN), who shares my commitment to helping other students achieve their dream of a college education.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” on the Higher Education Extension Act of 2015.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POCAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 3594, the Higher Education Extension Act, and I would like to thank my colleague, Mr. BISHOP, as well as my colleagues, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. MESSER, and Ranking Member SCOTT, for their leadership on this issue.

This bill would extend the Perkins Loan Program for 1 year. Perkins loans are need-based loans which foster access to higher education for low-income students by providing low-interest loans to students in need. Colleges and universities tailor the program to best fit borrowers' and educational institutions' situations.

Perkins is a risk-sharing program, with institutions contributing one-third of their students' awards. This “ownership interest” also contributes to the successful management of this vital program.

We have only 2 days before the Perkins Loan Program is set to expire, so we must act immediately.

Since its inception in 1958, over \$28 billion in loans have been made to students through almost 26 million aid awards. Perkins Loan borrowers are predominantly from lower income families and are often the first in their family to attend college.